

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09/497,522	02/04/2000	Hyun-doo Shin	Q53231 7586		
7590 01/16/2004			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			PARSONS, CHARLES E		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, Do	nia Avenue N W C 20037-3202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
3 ,			2613	10	
		DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/497,522	SHIN ET AL.			
Office Action Sumi	mary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles E Parsons	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date If the period for reply specified above is less If NO period for reply is specified above, the	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 of this communication. The thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period with the months after the mailing of the months after th	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day II apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on	·				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)∐ This a	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejection is/are objection	4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-48 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	is/are: a)☐ acce t any objection to the d) including the correction bjected to by the Exa	pted or b) objected to by the larawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/497,522

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/25/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In Response to Applicant request for a foreign application, the Examiner inadvertently selected the incorrect form paragraph, the appropriate 102(e) form paragraph reads as follows;

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The Examiner regrets having confused the Applicant. Never the less had the applicant seen Ratakonda's US filing date, he would have noted that a foreign filing date was not necessary since Ratakonda's US filing date predated the Applicants foreign priority date.

In Response to Applicants arguments that Ratakonda fails to teach creating a region of intensity histogram base on motion compensated frames, the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. A careful reading of columns 17 and 18 in particular column 17 lines 5-16, and column 18 lines 19-32. Furthermore it was well known that motion compensated frames are also known as P and B frames see column 14 lines 34-41, therefore the current invention as claimed boils down to creating a histogram of P and B Frames just like Ratakonda.

The Examiner stands behind his initial rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/497,522

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 1. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ratakonda.
 - Claim 1: A method for processing digital images received in the form of compressed video streams comprising the step of;

Determining a region of intensity histogram based on information on motion compensation of inter-frames. (See Ratakonda column 16 lines 9-17 as well as column 11 line 45 wherein he teaches that motion implies a change in intensity, thus the histogram is by nature a region of intensity histogram, as well as columns 17 and 18 and arguments above.)

Claim 2: The digital video processing method according to claim 1, before step (a), further comprising the steps of;

Receiving video streams (See figure 7 item 132, these bit streams are video.)

Application/Control Number: 09/497,522

Art Unit: 2613

Grouping the video streams into a plurality of groups using a predetermined algorithm; (See column 4 lines 36-29 clearly implying that the video sequences are segmented into groups.)

Selecting a group to be processed. (See column 14 lines 28-47 wherein he shows that he is working on a selected sequence not the whole bit stream.)

Wherein in the step (a) the RIH of the selected group is determined based on information on the motion compensation of inter-frames. (See column 14 lines 37-41, as well as column 16 lines 9-17.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Parsons whose telephone number is 703-305-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Art Unit: 2613

CEP

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5